

Date: Fri, 11 Nov 94 04:30:10 PST
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: List
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #1207
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Fri, 11 Nov 94 Volume 94 : Issue 1207

Today's Topics:

 non-sovereign DXCC "countries"

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 10 Nov 1994 10:12:33 -0600
From: Wayne_Estes@csg.mot.com (Wayne_Estes)
Subject: non-sovereign DXCC "countries"

What annoys me is the seemingly arbitrary decisions of the DXAC.

Why does St. Paul island qualify as a DXCC country when it is only 10 miles
from Nova Scotia and Newfoundland?

Why was the recent DX-pedition to Fernando de Noronha disqualified?
DXAC says the operation was not in the wildlife refuge that was granted DXCC
status in the 1950's. But the operation *WAS* in the Fernando de Noronha
archipelago, 200+ miles from the coast of Brazil.

BTW, I agree that Scarbrough Reef should NOT qualify for DXCC status.
It's absurd to even ask for a submerged reef to have "country" status!

Wayne Estes WD5FFH wayne@csg.mot.com

End of Info-Hams Digest V94 #1207
